Confidence Interval Basics

- Interval estimation
- Confidence interval from inverting a test
- Example: limits on mean of Gaussian
- Confidence intervals from the likelihood function

Confidence intervals by inverting a test

In addition to a 'point estimate' of a parameter we should report an interval reflecting its statistical uncertainty.

Confidence intervals for a parameter θ can be found by defining a test of the hypothesized value θ (do this for all θ):

Specify values of the data that are 'disfavoured' by θ (critical region) such that $P(\text{data in critical region} | \theta) \le \alpha$ for a prespecified α , e.g., 0.05 or 0.1.

If data observed in the critical region, reject the value θ .

Now invert the test to define a confidence interval as:

set of θ values that are not rejected in a test of size α (confidence level CL is $1 - \alpha$).

Relation between confidence interval and *p*-value

Equivalently we can consider a significance test for each hypothesized value of θ , resulting in a *p*-value, p_{θ} .

If $p_{\theta} \leq \alpha$, then we reject θ .

The confidence interval at $CL = 1 - \alpha$ consists of those values of θ that are not rejected.

E.g. an upper limit on θ is the greatest value for which $p_{\theta} > \alpha$.

In practice find by setting $p_{\theta} = \alpha$ and solve for θ .

For a multidimensional parameter space $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M)$ use same idea – result is a confidence "region" with boundary determined by $p_{\theta} = \alpha$.

Coverage probability of confidence interval

If the true value of θ is rejected, then it's not in the confidence interval. The probability for this is by construction (equality for continuous data):

 $P(\text{reject } \theta | \theta) \leq \alpha = \text{type-I error rate}$

Therefore, the probability for the interval to contain or "cover" θ is

P(conf. interval "covers" $\theta | \theta \ge 1 - \alpha$

This assumes that the set of θ values considered includes the true value, i.e., it assumes the composite hypothesis $P(\mathbf{x}|H,\theta)$.

Example: upper limit on mean of Gaussian

When we test the parameter, we should take the critical region to maximize the power with respect to the relevant alternative(s).

Example: $x \sim \text{Gauss}(\mu, \sigma)$ (take σ known)

Test $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus the alternative $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$

 \rightarrow Put w_{μ} at region of x-space characteristic of low μ (i.e. at low x)



Equivalently, take the *p*-value to be

$$p_{\mu_0} = P(x \le x_{\text{obs}} | \mu_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_{\text{obs}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-(x-\mu_0)^2/2\sigma^2} \, dx = \Phi\left(\frac{x_{\text{obs}} - \mu_0}{\sigma}\right)$$

Upper limit on Gaussian mean (2)

To find confidence interval, repeat for all μ_0 , i.e., set $p_{\mu 0} = \alpha$ and solve for μ_0 to find the interval's boundary



$$\mu_0 \to \mu_{\rm up} = x_{\rm obs} - \sigma \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = x_{\rm obs} + \sigma \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$$

This is an upper limit on μ , i.e., higher μ have even lower p-value and are in even worse agreement with the data.

Usually use $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = -\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha)$ so as to express the upper limit as x_{obs} plus a positive quantity. E.g. for $\alpha = 0.05$, $\Phi^{-1}(1-0.05) = 1.64$.

Approximate confidence intervals/regions from the likelihood function

Suppose we test parameter value(s) $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_N)$ using the ratio

$$\lambda(\theta) = \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \qquad \qquad 0 \le \lambda(\theta) \le 1$$

Lower $\lambda(\theta)$ means worse agreement between data and hypothesized θ . Equivalently, usually define

$$t_{\theta} = -2\ln\lambda(\theta)$$

so higher t_{θ} means worse agreement between θ and the data.

p-value of θ therefore

$$p_{\theta} = \int_{t_{\theta,\text{obs}}}^{\infty} f(t_{\theta}|\theta) \, dt_{\theta}$$
need pdf

G. Cowan / RHUL Physics

Statistical Data Analysis / lecture week 9

Confidence region from Wilks' theorem

Wilks' theorem says (in large-sample limit and provided certain conditions hold...)

 $f(t_{\theta}|\theta) \sim \chi_N^2$ chi-square dist. with # d.o.f. = # of components in $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_N)$.

Assuming this holds, the *p*-value is

$$p_{\theta} = 1 - F_{\chi^2_N}(t_{\theta}|\theta) \quad \leftarrow \text{ set equal to } \alpha$$

To find boundary of confidence region set $p_{\theta} = \alpha$ and solve for t_{θ} :

$$t_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = F_{\chi_N^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha)$$

Recall also

$$t_{\theta} = -2\ln\frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})}$$

G. Cowan / RHUL Physics

Statistical Data Analysis / lecture week 9

Confidence region from Wilks' theorem (cont.) i.e., boundary of confidence region in θ space is where

$$\ln L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \ln L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \frac{1}{2}F_{\chi_N^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha)$$

For example, for $1 - \alpha = 68.3\%$ and n = 1 parameter,

$$F_{\chi_1^2}^{-1}(0.683) = 1$$

and so the 68.3% confidence level interval is determined by

$$\ln L(\theta) = \ln L(\hat{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2}$$

Same as recipe for finding the estimator's standard deviation, i.e.,

 $[\hat{\theta} - \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}, \hat{\theta} + \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}]$ is a 68.3% CL confidence interval.

Example of interval from $\ln L(\theta)$

For N = 1 parameter, CL = 0.683, $Q_{\alpha} = 1$.



G. Cowan / RHUL Physics

Statistical Data Analysis / lecture week 9

Multiparameter case

For increasing number of parameters, $CL = 1 - \alpha$ decreases for confidence region determined by a given

$$Q_{\alpha} = F_{\chi_n^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha)$$

Q_{lpha}		-				
	n = 1	n = 2	n = 3	n = 4	n = 5	\leftarrow # of par.
1.0	0.683	0.393	0.199	0.090	0.037	-
2.0	0.843	0.632	0.428	0.264	0.151	
4.0	0.954	0.865	0.739	0.594	0.451	
9.0	0.997	0.989	0.971	0.939	0.891	

Multiparameter case (cont.)

Equivalently, Q_{α} increases with *n* for a given $CL = 1 - \alpha$.

$1 - \alpha$						
	n = 1	n = 2	n = 3	n = 4	n = 5	\leftarrow # of par.
0.683	1.00	2.30	3.53	4.72	5.89	-
0.90	2.71	4.61	6.25	7.78	9.24	
0.95	3.84	5.99	7.82	9.49	11.1	
0.99	6.63	9.21	11.3	13.3	15.1	_