Aachen Online Statistics School

GDC Lecture 4: Searches and discoveries using likelihoods

RWTH Aachen (online)
13-17 March 2023

https://indico.desy.de/event/37562/

Glen Cowan
Physics Department
Royal Holloway, University of London
`g.cowan@rhul.ac.uk`
`www.pp.rhul.ac.uk/~cowan`

Outline of GDC lectures

	Tue. 14.3	Probability (Bayes vs. Frequentist) Bayesian parameter and interval estimation
	Wed. 15.3	Frequentist confidence regions and intervals
	Thu. 16.3	Python software for frequentist and Bayesian confidence regions.
→	Fri. 17.3	Searches and discoveries using likelihoods

Prototype search analysis

Search for signal in a region of phase space; result is histogram of some variable x giving numbers:

$$\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_N)$$

Assume the n_i are Poisson distributed with expectation values

$$E[n_i] = \mu s_i + b_i$$

strength parameter

where

$$s_i = s_{\rm tot} \int_{{\rm bin}\, i} f_s(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_s)\, dx \,, \qquad b_i = b_{\rm tot} \int_{{\rm bin}\, i} f_b(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_b)\, dx \,.$$

signal

background

Prototype analysis (II)

Often also have a subsidiary measurement that constrains some of the background and/or shape parameters:

$$\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_M)$$

Assume the m_i are Poisson distributed with expectation values

$$E[m_i] = u_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

nuisance parameters ($\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{s}}$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{b}}$,$b_{\mathrm{tot}}$)

Likelihood function is

$$L(\mu, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mu s_j + b_j)^{n_j}}{n_j!} e^{-(\mu s_j + b_j)} \quad \prod_{k=1}^{M} \frac{u_k^{m_k}}{m_k!} e^{-u_k}$$

The profile likelihood ratio

Base significance test on the profile likelihood ratio:

maximizes L for specified μ

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}$$

maximize L

Define critical region of test of μ by the region of data space that gives the lowest values of $\lambda(\mu)$.

Important advantage of profile LR is that its distribution becomes independent of nuisance parameters in large sample limit.

Test statistic for discovery

Suppose relevant alternative to background-only ($\mu = 0$) is $\mu \geq 0$.

So take critical region for test of $\mu = 0$ corresponding to high q_0 and $\hat{\mu}$ > 0 (data characteristic for $\mu \geq 0$).

That is, to test background-only hypothesis define statistic

$$q_0 = \begin{cases} -2 \ln \lambda(0) & \hat{\mu} \geq 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mu} < 0 \end{cases}$$

i.e. here only large (positive) observed signal strength is evidence against the background-only hypothesis.

Note that even though here physically $\mu \geq 0$, we allow $\hat{\mu}$ to be negative. In large sample limit its distribution becomes Gaussian, and this will allow us to write down simple expressions for distributions of our test statistics.

Cowan, Cranmer, Gross, Vitells, arXiv:1007.1727, EPJC 71 (2011) 1554

Distribution of q_0 in large-sample limit

Assuming approximations valid in the large sample (asymptotic) limit, we can write down the full distribution of q_0 as

$$f(q_0|\mu') = \left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu'}{\sigma}\right)\right)\delta(q_0) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_0}}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{q_0} - \frac{\mu'}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$

The special case $\mu' = 0$ is a "half chi-square" distribution:

$$f(q_0|0) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(q_0) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_0}}e^{-q_0/2}$$

In large sample limit, $f(q_0|0)$ independent of nuisance parameters; $f(q_0|\mu')$ depends on nuisance parameters through σ.

p-value for discovery

Large q_0 means increasing incompatibility between the data and hypothesis, therefore p-value for an observed $q_{0,\mathrm{obs}}$ is

$$p_0 = \int_{q_{0,\mathrm{obs}}}^{\infty} f(q_0|0)\, dq_0$$

use e.g. asymptotic formula



From p-value get equivalent significance,

$$Z = \Phi^{-1}(1-p)$$

Cowan, Cranmer, Gross, Vitells, arXiv:1007.1727, EPJC 71 (2011) 1554

Cumulative distribution of q_0, significance

From the pdf, the cumulative distribution of q_0 is found to be

$$F(q_0|\mu') = \Phi\left(\sqrt{q_0} - \frac{\mu'}{\sigma}\right)$$

The special case $\mu' = 0$ is

$$F(q_0|0) = \Phi\left(\sqrt{q_0}\right)$$

The *p*-value of the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis is

$$p_0 = 1 - F(q_0|0)$$

Therefore the discovery significance Z is simply

$$\boxed{Z = \Phi^{-1}(1 - p_0) = \sqrt{q_0}}$$

Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formula

$$n \sim \ \mathrm{Poisson}(\mu s + b)$$

$$m \sim \ \mathrm{Poisson}(\tau b)$$

$\mu =$ param. of interest

$b =$ nuisance parameter

Here take s known, $\tau = 1$.

Asymptotic formula is good approximation to 5σ level ($q_0 = 25$) already for $b \sim 20$.



How to read the p_0 plot

The “local” p_0 means the p-value of the background-only hypothesis obtained from the test of $\mu = 0$ at each individual m_{H}, without any correct for the Look-Elsewhere Effect.

The “Expected” (dashed) curve gives the median p_0 under assumption of the SM Higgs (μ = 1) at each m_{H}.

ATLAS, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1-29



The blue band gives the width of the distribution $(\pm 1\sigma)$ of significances under assumption of the SM Higgs.

Cowan, Cranmer, Gross, Vitells, arXiv:1007.1727, EPJC 71 (2011) 1554

Test statistic for upper limits

For purposes of setting an upper limit on μ use

$$q_\mu = \begin{cases} -2\ln\lambda(\mu) & \hat{\mu} \le \mu \\ 0 & \hat{\mu} > \mu \end{cases} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}$$

I.e. when setting an upper limit, an upwards fluctuation of the data is not taken to mean incompatibility with the hypothesized μ :

From observed q_μ find p-value:

$$p_\mu = \int_{q_{\mu,\mathrm{obs}}}^{\infty} f(q_\mu|\mu)\, dq_\mu$$

Large sample approximation:

$$\boxed{p_\mu = 1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{q_\mu}\right)}$$

To find upper limit at CL = 1–α, set $p_\mu = \alpha$ and solve for μ.

Cowan, Cranmer, Gross, Vitells, arXiv:1007.1727, EPJC 71 (2011) 1554

Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formulae

Consider again $n \sim$ Poisson($\mu s + b$), $m \sim$ Poisson(τb)
Use q_μ to find p-value of hypothesized μ values.

E.g. $f(q_1|1)$ for p-value of $\mu = 1$.

Typically interested in 95% CL, i.e., p-value threshold = 0.05, i.e., $q_1 = 2.69$ or $Z_1 = \sqrt{q_1} = 1.64$.

Median[$q_1|0$] gives "exclusion sensitivity".

Here asymptotic formulae good for $s = 6$, $b = 9$.



How to read the green and yellow limit plots

For every value of m_{H}, find the upper limit on μ.

Also for each m_{H}, determine the distribution of upper limits μ_{up} one would obtain under the hypothesis of $\mu = 0$.

The dashed curve is the median μ_{up}, and the green (yellow) bands give the $\pm$ 1σ (2σ) regions of this distribution.

ATLAS, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1-29



Expected discovery significance for counting experiment with background uncertainty

I. Discovery sensitivity for counting experiment with b known:

(a)

$$\frac{s}{\sqrt{b}}$$

(b) Profile likelihood ratio test & Asimov:

$$\sqrt{2\left((s+b)\ln\left(1+\frac{s}{b}\right)-s\right)}$$

II. Discovery sensitivity with uncertainty in b, σ_b:

(a)

$$\frac{s}{\sqrt{b+\sigma_b^2}}$$

(b) Profile likelihood ratio test & Asimov:

$$\left[2\left((s+b)\ln\left[\frac{(s+b)(b+\sigma_b^2)}{b^2+(s+b)\sigma_b^2}\right]-\frac{b^2}{\sigma_b^2}\ln\left[1+\frac{\sigma_b^2 s}{b(b+\sigma_b^2)}\right]\right)\right]^{1/2}$$

Counting experiment with known background

Count a number of events $n \sim$ Poisson($s+b$), where

$s =$ expected number of events from signal,

$b =$ expected number of background events.

To test for discovery of signal compute p-value of $s = 0$ hypothesis,

$$p = P(n \geq n_{\mathrm{obs}}|b) = \sum_{n=n_{\mathrm{obs}}}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} e^{-b} = 1 - F_{\chi^2}(2b; 2n_{\mathrm{obs}})$$

Usually convert to equivalent significance: $Z = \Phi^{-1}(1-p)$
where Φ is the standard Gaussian cumulative distribution, e.g.,
$Z > 5$ (a 5 sigma effect) means $p < 2.9 \times 10^{-7}$.

To characterize sensitivity to discovery, give expected (mean or median) Z under assumption of a given s.

$s/\sqrt{b}$ for expected discovery significance

For large $s + b$, $n \rightarrow x \sim$ Gaussian(μ,σ) , $\mu = s + b$, $\sigma = \sqrt{(s + b)}$.

For observed value x_{obs}, p-value of $s = 0$ is Prob($x > x_{\mathrm{obs}} \mid s = 0$),:

$$p_0 = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x_{\mathrm{obs}} - b}{\sqrt{b}}\right)$$

Significance for rejecting $s = 0$ is therefore

$$Z_0 = \Phi^{-1}(1 - p_0) = \frac{x_{\mathrm{obs}} - b}{\sqrt{b}}$$

Expected (median) significance assuming signal rate s is

$$\mathrm{median}[Z_0|s + b] = \frac{s}{\sqrt{b}}$$

Better approximation for significance

Poisson likelihood for parameter *s* is

$$L(s) = \frac{(s+b)^n}{n!} e^{-(s+b)}$$

For now no nuisance params.

To test for discovery use profile likelihood ratio:

$$q_0 = \begin{cases} -2\ln\lambda(0) & \hat{s} \geq 0\,, \\ 0 & \hat{s} < 0\,. \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(s) = \frac{L(s, \hat{\hat{\theta}}(s))}{L(\hat{s}, \hat{\theta})}$$

So the likelihood ratio statistic for testing $s = 0$ is

$$q_0 = -2\ln\frac{L(0)}{L(\hat{s})} = 2\left(n\ln\frac{n}{b} + b - n\right) \quad \text{for } n > b,\ 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

Approximate Poisson significance (continued)

For sufficiently large $s + b$, (use Wilks' theorem),

$$Z = \sqrt{2\left(n \ln \frac{n}{b} + b - n\right)} \quad \text{for } n > b \text{ and } Z = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

To find median$[Z|s]$, let $n \to s + b$ (i.e., the Asimov data set):

$$Z_{\mathrm{A}} = \sqrt{2\left((s+b)\ln\left(1 + \frac{s}{b}\right) - s\right)}$$

This reduces to $s/\sqrt{b}$ for $s << b$.

$n \sim$ Poisson(s+b), median significance, assuming s, of the hypothesis $s = 0$

CCGV, EPJC 71 (2011) 1554, arXiv:1007.1727



“Exact” values from MC, jumps due to discrete data.

Asimov $\sqrt{q_{0,\mathrm{A}}}$ good approx. for broad range of s, b.

$s/\sqrt{b}$ only good for $s \ll b$.

Extending $s/\sqrt{b}$ to case where b uncertain

The intuitive explanation of $s/\sqrt{b}$ is that it compares the signal, s, to the standard deviation of n assuming no signal, $\sqrt{b}$.

Now suppose the value of b is uncertain, characterized by a standard deviation σ_b.

A reasonable guess is to replace $\sqrt{b}$ by the quadratic sum of $\sqrt{b}$ and σ_b, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{med}[Z|s] = \frac{s}{\sqrt{b + \sigma_b^2}}$$

This has been used to optimize some analyses e.g. where σ_b cannot be neglected.

Profile likelihood with b uncertain

This is the well studied "on/off" problem: Cranmer 2005; Cousins, Linnemann, and Tucker 2008; Li and Ma 1983,...

Measure two Poisson distributed values:

$n \sim$ Poisson(s+b) (primary or "search" measurement)

$m \sim$ Poisson(τb) (control measurement, τ known)

The likelihood function is

$$L(s,b) = \frac{(s+b)^n}{n!} e^{-(s+b)} \frac{(\tau b)^m}{m!} e^{-\tau b}$$

Use this to construct profile likelihood ratio (b is nuisance parameter):

$$\lambda(0) = \frac{L(0, \hat{\hat{b}}(0))}{L(\hat{s}, \hat{b})}$$

Ingredients for profile likelihood ratio

To construct profile likelihood ratio from this need estimators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{s} &= n - m/\tau \ , \\
\hat{b} &= m/\tau \ , \\
\hat{\hat{b}}(s) &= \frac{n + m - (1+\tau)s + \sqrt{(n + m - (1+\tau)s)^2 + 4(1+\tau)sm}}{2(1+\tau)} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

and in particular to test for discovery ($s = 0$),

$$\hat{\hat{b}}(0) = \frac{n+m}{1+\tau}$$

Asymptotic significance

Use profile likelihood ratio for q_0, and then from this get discovery significance using asymptotic approximation (Wilks' theorem):

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z &= \sqrt{q_0} \\
&= \left[-2 \left(n \ln \left[\frac{n+m}{(1+\tau)n} \right] + m \ln \left[\frac{\tau(n+m)}{(1+\tau)m} \right] \right) \right]^{1/2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n > \hat{b}$ and $Z = 0$ otherwise.

Essentially same as in:

Robert D. Cousins, James T. Linnemann and Jordan Tucker, NIM A 595 (2008) 480–501; arXiv:physics/0702156.

Tipei Li and Yuqian Ma, Astrophysical Journal 272 (1983) 317–324.

Asimov approximation for median significance

To get median discovery significance, replace n, m by their expectation values assuming background-plus-signal model:

$$n \rightarrow s + b$$

$$m \rightarrow \tau b$$

$$Z_{\rm A} = \left[-2 \left((s+b) \ln \left[\frac{s + (1+\tau) b}{(1+\tau)(s+b)} \right] + \tau b \ln \left[1 + \frac{s}{(1+\tau) b} \right] \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

Or use the variance of $\hat{b} = m/\tau$, $V[\hat{b}] \equiv \sigma_b^2 = \frac{b}{\tau}$, to eliminate τ:

$$Z_{\rm A} = \left[2 \left((s+b) \ln \left[\frac{(s+b)(b+\sigma_b^2)}{b^2 + (s+b)\sigma_b^2} \right] - \frac{b^2}{\sigma_b^2} \ln \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_b^2 s}{b(b+\sigma_b^2)} \right] \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

Limiting cases

Expanding the Asimov formula in powers of s/b and $\sigma_b{}^2/b$ $(= 1/\tau)$ gives

$$Z_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{s}{\sqrt{b+\sigma_b^2}}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(s/b) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma_b^2/b)\right)$$

So the "intuitive" formula can be justified as a limiting case of the significance from the profile likelihood ratio test evaluated with the Asimov data set.

Testing the formulae: $s = 5$



Using sensitivity to optimize a cut



Figure 1: (a) The expected significance as a function of the cut value $x_{\rm cut}$; (b) the distributions of signal and background with the optimal cut value indicated.

Summary on discovery sensitivity

Simple formula for expected discovery significance based on profile likelihood ratio test and Asimov approximation:

$$Z_{\rm A} = \left[2 \left((s+b) \ln \left[\frac{(s+b)(b+\sigma_b^2)}{b^2 + (s+b)\sigma_b^2} \right] - \frac{b^2}{\sigma_b^2} \ln \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_b^2 s}{b(b+\sigma_b^2)} \right] \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

For large *b*, all formulae OK.

For small b, $s/\sqrt{b}$ and $s/\sqrt{(b+\sigma_b^2)}$ overestimate the significance.

Could be important in optimization of searches with low background.

Formula maybe also OK if model is not simple on/off experiment, e.g., several background control measurements (check this).

Some final thoughts

Searches based on the profile likelihood function have become a standard approach in HEP, allowing one to find *p*-values for parameters of interest that are often decoupled or only weakly sensitive to nuisance parameters.

Validity of the large-sample formulae depends on the problem and may need checking with MC. But in practice it holds for surprising small data samples (~a few events).

Once the basic formalism is fixed, most of the work focuses on writing down the likelihood, e.g., $P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$, and including in it enough parameters to adequately describe the data (true for both Bayesian and frequentist approaches) so often best to invest most of your time with it.

Extra slides

Profile Likelihood

Suppose we have a likelihood $L(\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\theta})$ with N parameters of interest $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1,\ldots, \mu_N)$ and M nuisance parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1,\ldots, \theta_M)$. The "profiled" (or "constrained") values of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ are:

$$\hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}}\, L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

and the profile likelihood is: $L_{\mathrm{p}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}})$

The profile likelihood depends only on the parameters of interest; the nuisance parameters are replaced by their profiled values.

The profile likelihood can be used to obtain confidence intervals/regions for the parameters of interest in the same way as one would for all of the parameters from the full likelihood.

Profile Likelihood Ratio – Wilks theorem

Goal is to test/reject regions of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ space (param. of interest).

Rejecting a point $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ should mean $p_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \leq \alpha$ for all possible values of the nuisance parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Test $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ using the "profile likelihood ratio":
$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \frac{L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}})}{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}$$

Let $t_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = -2\ln\lambda(\boldsymbol{\mu})$. Wilks' theorem says in large-sample limit:

$$t_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \sim \text{chi-square}(N)$$

where the number of degrees of freedom is the number of parameters of interest (components of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$). So p-value for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \int_{t_{\mu,\text{obs}}}^{\infty} f(t_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\theta})\, dt_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = 1 - F_{\chi^2_N}(t_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\text{obs}})$$

Profile Likelihood Ratio – Wilks theorem (2)

If we have a large enough data sample to justify use of the asymptotic chi-square pdf, then if $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is rejected, it is rejected for any values of the nuisance parameters.

The recipe to get confidence regions/intervals for the parameters of interest at CL = 1 – α is thus the same as before, simply use the profile likelihood:

$$\ln L_{\mathrm{p}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \ln L_{\max} - \frac{1}{2} F^{-1}_{\chi^2_N}(1-\alpha)$$

where the number of degrees of freedom N for the chi-square quantile is equal to the number of parameters of interest.

If the large-sample limit is not justified, then use e.g. Monte Carlo to get distribution of $t_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$.