Using the Profile Likelihood in Searches for New Physics arXiv:1007.1727



PHYSTAT2011 CERN, 17-21 January, 2011

<u>Glen Cowan¹</u>, Kyle Cranmer², Eilam Gross³, Ofer Vitells³

¹ Physics Department, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, TW20 0EX, U.K.
 ² Physics Department, New York University, New York, NY 10003, U.S.A.

³ Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

G. Cowan

Using the Profile Likelihood in Searches for New Physics / PHYSTAT 2011

1

Outline

Prototype search analysis for LHC Test statistics based on profile likelihood ratio Systematics covered via nuisance parameters Sampling distributions to get significance/sensitivity Asymptotic formulae from Wilks/Wald Examples:

 $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu s + b), m \sim \text{Poisson}(\tau b)$

Shape analysis

Conclusions

Prototype search analysis

Search for signal in a region of phase space; result is histogram of some variable *x* giving numbers:

$$\mathbf{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_N)$$

Assume the n_i are Poisson distributed with expectation values

$$E[n_i] = \mu s_i + b_i$$

strength parameter

where

$$s_{i} = s_{\text{tot}} \int_{\text{bin } i} f_{s}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}) \, dx \,, \quad b_{i} = b_{\text{tot}} \int_{\text{bin } i} f_{b}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}) \, dx \,.$$

signal background

G. Cowan

Prototype analysis (II)

Often also have a subsidiary measurement that constrains some of the background and/or shape parameters:

$$\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_M)$$

Assume the m_i are Poisson distributed with expectation values

$$E[m_i] = u_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

nuisance parameters ($\boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{b}, b_{tot}$)

Likelihood function is

$$L(\mu, \theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mu s_j + b_j)^{n_j}}{n_j!} e^{-(\mu s_j + b_j)} \quad \prod_{k=1}^{M} \frac{u_k^{m_k}}{m_k!} e^{-u_k}$$

G. Cowan

The profile likelihood ratio

Base significance test on the profile likelihood ratio:



The likelihood ratio of point hypotheses gives optimum test (Neyman-Pearson lemma).

The profile LR hould be near-optimal in present analysis with variable μ and nuisance parameters θ .

Test statistic for discovery

Try to reject background-only ($\mu = 0$) hypothesis using

$$q_0 = \begin{cases} -2\ln\lambda(0) & \hat{\mu} \ge 0\\ 0 & \hat{\mu} < 0 \end{cases}$$

i.e. here only regard upward fluctuation of data as evidence against the background-only hypothesis.

Note that even though here physically $\mu \ge 0$, we allow $\hat{\mu}$ to be negative. In large sample limit its distribution becomes Gaussian, and this will allow us to write down simple expressions for distributions of our test statistics.

p-value for discovery

Large q_0 means increasing incompatibility between the data and hypothesis, therefore *p*-value for an observed $q_{0,obs}$ is

$$p_0 = \int_{q_{0,\text{obs}}}^{\infty} f(q_0|0) \, dq_0$$

will get formula for this later



From *p*-value get equivalent significance,

$$Z = \Phi^{-1}(1-p)$$

Expected (or median) significance / sensitivity

When planning the experiment, we want to quantify how sensitive we are to a potential discovery, e.g., by given median significance assuming some nonzero strength parameter μ' .



So for *p*-value, need $f(q_0|0)$, for sensitivity, will need $f(q_0|\mu')$,

G. Cowan

Wald approximation for profile likelihood ratio To find *p*-values, we need: $f(q_0|0)$, $f(q_\mu|\mu)$ For median significance under alternative, need: $f(q_\mu|\mu')$ Use approximation due to Wald (1943)

$$-2 \ln \lambda(\mu) = \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\sigma^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{N})$$

$$\hat{\mu} \sim \text{Gaussian}(\mu', \sigma) \qquad \text{sample size}$$

i.e., $E[\hat{\mu}] = \mu'$
 σ from covariance matrix V, use, e.g.,

$$V^{-1} = -E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j}\right]$$

Noncentral chi-square for $-2\ln\lambda(\mu)$

If we can neglect the $O(1/\sqrt{N})$ term, $-2\ln\lambda(\mu)$ follows a noncentral chi-square distribution for one degree of freedom with noncentrality parameter

$$\Lambda = \frac{(\mu - \mu')^2}{\sigma^2}$$

As a special case, if $\mu' = \mu$ then $\Lambda = 0$ and $-2\ln\lambda(\mu)$ follows a chi-square distribution for one degree of freedom (Wilks).

Distribution of q_0

Assuming the Wald approximation, we can write down the full distribution of q_0 as

$$f(q_0|\mu') = \left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu'}{\sigma}\right)\right)\delta(q_0) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_0}}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{q_0} - \frac{\mu'}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$

The special case $\mu' = 0$ is a "half chi-square" distribution:

$$f(q_0|0) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(q_0) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_0}}e^{-q_0/2}$$

Cumulative distribution of q_0 , significance From the pdf, the cumulative distribution of q_0 is found to be

$$F(q_0|\mu') = \Phi\left(\sqrt{q_0} - \frac{\mu'}{\sigma}\right)$$

The special case $\mu' = 0$ is

$$F(q_0|0) = \Phi\left(\sqrt{q_0}\right)$$

The *p*-value of the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis is

$$p_0 = 1 - F(q_0|0)$$

Therefore the discovery significance Z is simply

$$Z = \Phi^{-1}(1 - p_0) = \sqrt{q_0}$$

The Asimov data set

To estimate median value of $-2\ln\lambda(\mu)$, consider special data set where all statistical fluctuations suppressed and n_i , m_i are replaced by their expectation values (the "Asimov" data set):

$$n_{i} = \mu' s_{i} + b_{i}$$

$$m_{i} = u_{i}$$

$$\rightarrow \qquad \hat{\mu} = \mu' \qquad \hat{\theta} = \theta$$

$$\lambda_{A}(\mu) = \frac{L_{A}(\mu, \hat{\theta})}{L_{A}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta})} = \frac{L_{A}(\mu, \hat{\theta})}{L_{A}(\mu', \theta)} \qquad Asimov value of -2\ln\lambda(\mu) gives non-centrality param. \Lambda, or equivalently, \sigma$$

Relation between test statistics and $\hat{\mu}$

Assuming Wald approximation, the relation between q_0 and $\hat{\mu}$ is

Monotonic, therefore quantiles of $\hat{\mu}$ map one-to-one onto those of q_0 , e.g.,

$$med[q_0] = q_0(med[\hat{\mu}]) = q_0(\mu') = \frac{{\mu'}^2}{\sigma^2} = -2\ln\lambda_A(0)$$
$$med[Z_0] = \sqrt{-2\ln\lambda_A(0)}$$

G. Cowan

Profile likelihood ratio for upper limits

For purposes of setting an upper limit on μ use

$$q_{\mu} = \begin{cases} -2\ln\lambda(\mu) & \hat{\mu} \leq \mu \\ 0 & \hat{\mu} > \mu \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\hat{\theta}})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta})}$$

Note for purposes of setting an upper limit, one does not regard an upwards fluctuation of the data as representing incompatibility with the hypothesized μ .

Note also here we allow the estimator for μ be negative (but $\hat{\mu}s_i + b_i$ must be positive).

Alternative test statistic for upper limits

Assume physical signal model has $\mu > 0$, therefore if estimator for μ comes out negative, the closest physical model has $\mu = 0$.

Therefore could also measure level of discrepancy between data and hypothesized μ with

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mu))}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} & \hat{\mu} \ge 0, \\ \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mu))}{L(0, \hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(0))} & \hat{\mu} < 0. \end{cases} \quad \tilde{q}_{\mu} = \begin{cases} -2\ln\tilde{\lambda}(\mu) & \hat{\mu} \le \mu \\ 0 & \hat{\mu} > \mu \end{cases}$$

Performance not identical to but very close to q_{μ} (of previous slide). q_{u} is simpler in important ways.

Relation between test statistics and $\hat{\mu}$

Assuming the Wałd approximation for $-2\ln\lambda(\mu)$, q_{μ} and \tilde{q}_{μ} both have monotonic relation with μ .

G. Cowan

 $-\hat{\mu}$

Distribution of q_{μ}

Similar results for q_{μ}

$$f(q_{\mu}|\mu') = \Phi\left(\frac{\mu'-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\delta(q_{\mu}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{\mu}}}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{q_{\mu}}-\frac{(\mu-\mu')}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$

$$f(q_{\mu}|\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(q_{\mu}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{\mu}}}e^{-q_{\mu}/2}$$

$$F(q_{\mu}|\mu') = \Phi\left(\sqrt{q_{\mu}} - \frac{(\mu - \mu')}{\sigma}\right)$$

$$p_{\mu} = 1 - F(q_{\mu}|\mu) = 1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{q_{\mu}}\right)$$

G. Cowan

Distribution of \tilde{q}_{μ}

Similar results for \tilde{q}_{μ}

$$\begin{split} f(\tilde{q}_{\mu}|\mu') &= \Phi\left(\frac{\mu'-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\delta(\tilde{q}_{\mu}) \\ &+ \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{q}_{\mu}}}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\tilde{q}_{\mu}}-\frac{\mu-\mu'}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right] & 0 < \tilde{q}_{\mu} \le \mu^{2}/\sigma^{2} , \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(2\mu/\sigma)}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\tilde{q}_{\mu}-(\mu^{2}-2\mu\mu')/\sigma^{2})^{2}}{(2\mu/\sigma)^{2}}\right] & \tilde{q}_{\mu} > \mu^{2}/\sigma^{2} . \end{split}$$

$$F(\tilde{q}_{\mu}|\mu') = \begin{cases} \Phi\left(\sqrt{\tilde{q}_{\mu}} - \frac{(\mu - \mu')}{\sigma}\right) & 0 < \tilde{q}_{\mu} \le \mu^2/\sigma^2 ,\\ \Phi\left(\frac{\tilde{q}_{\mu} - (\mu^2 - 2\mu\mu')/\sigma^2}{2\mu/\sigma}\right) & \tilde{q}_{\mu} > \mu^2/\sigma^2 . \end{cases}$$

G. Cowan

Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formula

 $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu s + b)$ $m \sim \text{Poisson}(\tau b)$

Here take $\tau = 1$.

Asymptotic formula is good approximation to 5σ level ($q_0 = 25$) already for $b \sim 20$.



Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formulae

Significance from asymptotic formula, here $Z_0 = \sqrt{q_0} = 4$, compared to MC (true) value.

For very low b, asymptotic formula underestimates Z_0 . Then slight overshoot before rapidly converging to MC



value.

Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formulae Asymptotic $f(q_0|1)$ good already for fairly small samples. Median[$q_0|1$] from Asimov data set; good agreement with MC.



Using the Profile Likelihood in Searches for New Physics / PHYSTAT 2011

Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formulae

Consider again $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu s + b)$, $m \sim \text{Poisson}(\tau b)$ Use q_{μ} to find *p*-value of hypothesized μ values.





Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formulae

Same message for test based on \tilde{q}_{μ} .

 q_{μ} and \tilde{q}_{μ} give similar tests to the extent that asymptotic formulae are valid.



Example 2: Shape analysis

Look for a Gaussian bump sitting on top of:



G. Cowan

Using the Profile Likelihood in Searches for New Physics / PHYSTAT 2011

25

Monte Carlo test of asymptotic formulae

Distributions of q_{μ} here for μ that gave $p_{\mu} = 0.05$.



Using $f(q_{\mu}|0)$ to get error bands

We are not only interested in the median $[q_{\mu}|0]$; we want to know how much statistical variation to expect from a real data set.

But we have full $f(q_{\mu}|0)$; we can get any desired quantiles.



G. Cowan

Using the Profile Likelihood in Searches for New Physics / PHYSTAT 2011

Distribution of upper limit on μ

 $\pm 1\sigma$ (green) and $\pm 2\sigma$ (yellow) bands from MC; Vertical lines from asymptotic formulae



Limit on μ versus peak position (mass)

 $\pm 1\sigma$ (green) and $\pm 2\sigma$ (yellow) bands from asymptotic formulae; Points are from a single arbitrary data set.



Using likelihood ratio L_{s+b}/L_b

Many searches at the Tevatron have used the statistic

$$q = -2 \ln \frac{L_{s+b}}{L_b}$$
 likelihood of $\mu = 1 \mod (s+b)$
likelihood of $\mu = 0 \mod (bkg only)$

This can be written

$$q = -2\ln\frac{L(\mu = 1, \hat{\hat{\theta}}(1))}{L(\mu = 0, \hat{\hat{\theta}}(0))} = -2\ln\lambda(1) + 2\ln\lambda(0)$$

Wald approximation for L_{s+b}/L_b

Assuming the Wald approximation, q can be written as

$$q = \frac{(\hat{\mu} - 1)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1 - 2\hat{\mu}}{\sigma^2}$$

i.e. q is Gaussian distributed with mean and variance of

$$E[q] = \frac{1 - 2\mu}{\sigma^2} \qquad \quad V[q] = \frac{4}{\sigma^2}$$

To get σ^2 use 2nd derivatives of ln*L* with Asimov data set.

Example with L_{s+b}/L_b Consider again $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu s + b), m \sim \text{Poisson}(\tau b)$ $b = 20, s = 10, \tau = 1.$



So even for smallish data sample, Wald approximation can be useful; no MC needed.

G. Cowan

Summary Asymptotic distributions of profile LR applied to an LHC search. Wilks: $f(q_{\mu}|\mu)$ for *p*-value of μ . Wald approximation for $f(q_{\mu}|\mu')$. "Asimov" data set used to estimate median q_{μ} for sensitivity.

Gives σ of distribution of estimator for μ .

Asymptotic formulae especially useful for estimating sensitivity in high-dimensional parameter space.

Can always check with MC for very low data samples and/or when precision crucial.

Implementation in RooStats (ongoing).

Thanks to Louis Fayard, Nancy Andari, Francesco Polci, Marumi Kado for their observations related to allowing a negative estimator for μ .

G. Cowan

Extra slides

Discovery significance for $n \sim \text{Poisson}(s + b)$

Consider again the case where we observe n events, model as following Poisson distribution with mean s + b(assume b is known).

- 1) For an observed *n*, what is the significance Z_0 with which we would reject the s = 0 hypothesis?
- 2) What is the expected (or more precisely, median) Z_0 if the true value of the signal rate is *s*?

Gaussian approximation for Poisson significance For large s + b, $n \rightarrow x \sim \text{Gaussian}(\mu, \sigma)$, $\mu = s + b$, $\sigma = \sqrt{(s + b)}$. For observed value x_{obs} , *p*-value of s = 0 is $\text{Prob}(x > x_{\text{obs}} | s = 0)$,:

$$p_0 = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x_{\rm obs} - b}{\sqrt{b}}\right)$$

Significance for rejecting s = 0 is therefore

$$Z_0 = \Phi^{-1}(1 - p_0) = \frac{x_{\text{obs}} - b}{\sqrt{b}}$$

Expected (median) significance assuming signal rate s is

$$\mathrm{median}[Z_0|s+b] = \frac{s}{\sqrt{b}}$$

G. Cowan

Better approximation for Poisson significance

Likelihood function for parameter s is

$$L(s) = \frac{(s+b)^n}{n!}e^{-(s+b)}$$

or equivalently the log-likelihood is

$$\ln L(s) = n \ln(s+b) - (s+b) - \ln n!$$

Find the maximum by setting $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial s} = 0$

gives the estimator for s: $\hat{s} = n - b$

G. Cowan

Approximate Poisson significance (continued) The likelihood ratio statistic for testing s = 0 is

$$q_0 = -2\ln\frac{L(0)}{L(\hat{s})} = 2\left(n\ln\frac{n}{b} + b - n\right) \quad \text{for } n > b, \ 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

For sufficiently large s + b, (use Wilks' theorem),

$$Z_0 \approx \sqrt{q_0} = \sqrt{2\left(n\ln\frac{n}{b} + b - n\right)}$$
 for $n > b$, 0 otherwise

To find median[$Z_0|s+b$], let $n \rightarrow s + b$ (i.e., the Asimov data set):

$$\mathrm{median}[Z_0|s+b] \approx \sqrt{2\left((s+b)\ln(1+s/b)-s\right)}$$

This reduces to s/\sqrt{b} for s << b.

 $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu s+b)$, median significance, assuming $\mu = 1$, of the hypothesis $\mu = 0$



"Exact" values from MC, jumps due to discrete data.

Asimov $\sqrt{q_{0,A}}$ good approx. for broad range of *s*, *b*.

 s/\sqrt{b} only good for $s \ll b$.

Profile likelihood ratio for unified interval

We can also use directly

$$t_{\mu} = -2 \ln \lambda(\mu)$$
 where $\lambda(\mu) = \frac{L(\mu, \hat{\hat{\theta}})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta})}$

as a test statistic for a hypothesized μ .

Large discrepancy between data and hypothesis can correspond either to the estimate for μ being observed high or low relative to μ .

Distribution of t_{μ}

Using Wald approximation, $f(t_{\mu}|\mu')$ is noncentral chi-square for one degree of freedom:

$$f(t_{\mu}|\mu') = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t_{\mu}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{t_{\mu}} + \frac{\mu - \mu'}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{t_{\mu}} - \frac{\mu - \mu'}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) \right]$$

Special case of $\mu = \mu'$ is chi-square for one d.o.f. (Wilks).

The *p*-value for an observed value of t_u is

$$p_{\mu} = 1 - F(t_{\mu}|\mu) = 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\sqrt{t_{\mu}}\right)\right)$$

and the corresponding significance is

$$Z_{\mu} = \Phi^{-1}(1 - p_{\mu}) = \Phi^{-1} \left(2\Phi \left(\sqrt{t_{\mu}} \right) - 1 \right)$$

G. Cowan

Combination of channels

For a set of independent decay channels, full likelihood function is product of the individual ones:

$$L(\mu, \theta) = \prod_{i} L_i(\mu, \theta_i)$$

For combination need to form the full function and maximize to find estimators of μ , θ .

→ ongoing ATLAS/CMS effort with RooStats framework

Trick for median significance: estimator for μ is equal to the Asimov value μ' for all channels separately, so for combination,

$$\lambda_{A}(\mu) = \prod_{i} \lambda_{A,i}(\mu)$$
 where $\lambda_{A,i}(\mu) = \frac{L_{i}(\mu, \hat{\theta})}{L_{i}(\mu', \theta)}$