

## Statistics Problems for the NIKHEF Onderzoekschool Subatomaire Fysica (part 2)

**Exercise 4:** Consider a likelihood  $L(x|\theta)$ , which gives the probability for the data  $x$  given a parameter  $\theta$ . The Jeffreys prior for  $\theta$  is given by

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$I(\theta) = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] \quad (2)$$

is the expected Fisher Information.

(a) Show that

$$-E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = E \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right], \quad (3)$$

providing that the set of the allowed values of  $x$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Hint: Write the right-hand side of (3) as

$$E \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right] = \int \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( L \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} \right) - L \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] dx \quad (4)$$

and use the fact that one can bring the derivative  $\partial/\partial\theta$  outside of the integral as long as the region of integration does not depend on  $\theta$ . Also use the fact the the integral of  $L(x|\theta)$  over all  $x$  is equal to unity for any  $\theta$ .

(b) Suppose one uses the Jeffreys prior for  $\theta$  to obtain the posterior pdf

$$p(\theta|x) \propto L(x|\theta)\pi(\theta), \quad (5)$$

Suppose now that one transforms to a new parameter  $\eta(\theta)$ , such that the posterior pdf for  $\eta$  is

$$p(\eta|x) = p(\theta|x) \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} \right|. \quad (6)$$

Show that one arrives at the same posterior pdf as (6) by beginning directly from the Jeffreys prior for  $\eta$ . That is, inference made using the Jeffreys prior is invariant under a parameter transformation.

**Exercise 5:** Consider an experiment where one measures a number of events  $n$ , which is modeled as following a Poisson distribution with mean  $s + b$ , where  $s$  and  $b$  are the contributions from signal and background processes, respectively. To constrain the parameter  $b$ , one carries out a control measurement that counts a number of events  $m$ , which follows a Poisson distribution with mean  $\tau b$ , where  $\tau$  is a known scale factor.

The problem thus contains a single parameter of interest,  $s$ , and a nuisance parameter  $b$ . The likelihood can be written

$$L(n, m | s, b) = \frac{(s + b)^n}{n!} e^{-(s+b)} \frac{(\tau b)^m}{m!} e^{-\tau b}, \quad (7)$$

Show that the Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimators for  $s$  and  $b$  are

$$\hat{s} = n - m/\tau, \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{b} = m/\tau, \quad (9)$$

and that the conditional ML estimator for  $b$  given  $s$  is

$$\hat{\hat{b}}(s) = \frac{m + n - (1 + \tau)s + \sqrt{(m + n - (1 + \tau)s)^2 + 4(1 + \tau)ms}}{2(1 + \tau)}. \quad (10)$$

The quantities  $\hat{s}$ ,  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{\hat{b}}$  are what we require to compute the profile likelihood ratio

$$\lambda(s) = \frac{L(s, \hat{\hat{b}})}{L(\hat{s}, \hat{b})}. \quad (11)$$

(b) Write a Monte Carlo program that generates values of  $n$  and  $m$  according to hypothesized values of  $s$  and  $b$  (e.g., use  $b = 20$ ,  $\tau = 1$  and  $s = 0$ ) and use these to evaluate the profile likelihood ratio. (See the program `runSigCalc_MC.cc` on the course webpage for examples of how to generate Poisson distributed values by using the ROOT class `TRandom3`.)

To carry out a test of  $s = 0$ , we can use the statistic

$$q_0 = \begin{cases} -2 \ln \lambda(0) & \hat{s} \geq 0, \\ 0 & \hat{s} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Generate the distribution of  $q_0$  assuming  $s = 0$ ,  $b = 20$  and  $\tau = 1$ . In the large sample limit, this should approach a “half-chi-square” distribution for one degree of freedom (a delta function at zero plus a chi-square distribution, each with a weight of one half). Check to what extent this holds for different values of  $b$  (e.g.,  $b = 2, 20, 200$ ).

(c) Extend the Monte Carlo program from (b) to compute the distribution of  $q_0$  by generating data with a nonzero value of  $s$ , e.g., take  $b = 20$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $s = 10$ . Find the median value of  $q_0$  under assumption of this value of  $s$ , and thus find the median discovery significance for this  $s$  (i.e., the discovery sensitivity). Compare this to the value based on the Asimov data set,

$$\text{med}[Z_0 | s, b] \approx \sqrt{2((s + b) \ln(1 + s/b) - s)}. \quad (13)$$